

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

EE

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION	PROCESSING ACTION
		SECRET	<input type="checkbox"/> MARKED FOR INDEXING <input type="checkbox"/> NO INDEXING REQUIRED <input type="checkbox"/> ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING <input type="checkbox"/> MICROFILM
TO	Chief, Munich Operations sec		
INFO	Chief, Bonn Element Frankfurt		
FROM	Chief, Bonn, Chief, B, C, Germany, Chief, Bonn ops sec		
SUBJECT	Chief [] Operational/CATIE/C []		
ACTION REQUIRED: REFERENCES			
<p>1. [] recently informed us that he had spent the major portion of a week-end winning and dining some CATIE officers who had come to Copenhagen in connection with a CATIE double-agent case run against the Czechs. One of the CATIE officers (not further identified) got quite drunk and revealed some information to [] about the case. He explained that the case had been active for quite some time and involved a Czech citizen who was working for the CIS and who was frequently sent to the West on missions for the CIS. The CIS agent had at some time in the dim past been doubled by CATIE and was now reporting to his CATIE case officer about his missions to the West on behalf of the CIS. The case had not been particularly worthwhile and CATIE had apparently quite recently decided to make an analysis of it in order to decide whether it should be continued or whether some other action should be taken against the agent. We are not quite sure from [] story what happened at this point, but apparently the analysis made some of the senior CATIE officers conclude that most probably the double agent was not being run by his CATIE case officer but rather the Czech double agent was running the CATIE officer; i.e. the alleged missions to the West were only a cover for the CIS (or possibly the SIS) to remain in contact with the CATIE officer who was a recruited CIS agent. [] tried to find out why the CATIE suspicions had thus focused on the CATIE officer and it was explained to him by the inebriated CATIE visitor that a number of years ago the AIS had reported to CATIE that the officer was a suspected CIS agent. In view of the lack of further details in the AIS report, however, CATIE had dismissed the charge, and not until the analysis of Subject case was made did they have any reason to suspect the CATIE case officer. []'s friend went on to explain that CATIE now found itself in the embarrassing position where they had decided to disregard an AIS warning and now found that the AIS was probably correct anyway. [] got the impression that CATIE had not revealed their conclusions to the AIS and did not plan to do so until it had been firmly established whether the CATIE officer was really under enemy control. When [] tried to elicit some more information about Subject case, his CATIE friend said that the case had been going on for many years, that the meeting in Copenhagen was the 34th meeting between the CATIE officer and the CIS agent, and that there were relatively few meetings per year. The CATIE officer under suspicion was quite senior in the CATIE hierarchy and [] got the impression that he was the chief of the Czech desk or maybe even the satellite department.</p> <p>2. When [] was telling us his tale, we were reminded of []'s REPORTED of CATIE's Czech expert which resulted in [] charging that the man was an enemy penetration. We do not recall what action was taken in that</p>			
CROSS REFERENCE TO:	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE	
	[] 12606	21-6-20/3	
	CLASSIFICATION	HQ'S FILE NUMBER	
	SECRET CS COPY	[] 21-6-20/3	

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
 CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
 SOURCES METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B
 NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
 DATE 2006

CONTINUATION OF DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION SECRET	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER DDCA-12606
-----------------------------	------------------------------	--

CLASSIFICATION

DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER

instance by GAYNE but we are of the impression that GAYNE did in fact at that time decide to disavow []'s charges because they were based on technical facts only. At any rate, we assume that WGB can identify the case on the basis of []'s statements as reported above. If, however, WGB needs additional information we shall attempt to elicit some more from []

THE

CLASSIFICATION:

1997

CONTINUED

PAGE NO.

~~2/27-2/28~~